

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/678,172	KIKIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DANIEL I. WALSH	2887	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DANIEL I. WALSH. (3)_____.

(2) Mark Levy. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 3-20-08.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: Tsirline et al. (US 6848616 and US 20050045723).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Levy agreed to the proposed Examiner Amendment to place the application in better condition for allowance.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

//Daniel I Walsh//
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2887
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.